



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/431,154	11/01/1999	TAKEHIRO KATA	104639 8340	
25944 7	7590 01/23/2004		EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 19928			MACKEY, JAMES P	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1722	
			DATE MAILED: 01/23/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			(ΔI)			
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/431,154	KATA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		James Mackey	1722			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period our to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 De</u>	<u>ecember 2003</u> .				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4) Claim(s) 1.2 and 4-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1.2 and 4-6 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceeds applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the l drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
* S 13) \[A Si 3 a 14) \[A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic ince a specific reference was included in the first 7 CFR 1.78. 1) The translation of the foreign language proacknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic efference was included in the first sentence of the efference was included in the first sentence of the	s have been received. s have been received in Applicativity documents have been received in Applicativity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not received priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(ext sentence of the specification or existence of the specification application has been received priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	on No ed in this National Stage ed. e) (to a provisional application) in an Application Data Sheet. eived. and/or 121 since a specific			
Attachmen	t(s)					
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s). Z004 0/13 atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 1722

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

2. Claims 1, 2 and 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Great Britain Patent 1,248,891 (Figures 1-5) in view of Miyata et al. (U.S. Patent 5,208,044) and further in view of any one of Materick (U.S. Patent 3,806,288), Le Moullac (U.S. Patent 3,990,823), Le Moullac (U.S. Patent 4,289,463), Nara et al. (U.S. Patent 6,066,283) and Allitt (U.S. Patent 3,553,789).

British '891 discloses a vulcanizing mold substantially as claimed, comprising upper and lower sidewall mold members integrally attached to upper and lower base plates, upper 42 and lower 10 tread mold members indirectly attached to the upper and lower base plates and being constituted of upper segments and lower segments, respectively, the upper and lower segments being displaceable only radially relative to the upper and lower sidewall mold members, a single cam ring 43 in direct engagement with the upper and lower tread mold members (see especially

Art Unit: 1722

Figs. 4 and 5, showing that the cam ring engages with a tapered portion of the lower segments 11), the cam ring being always in engagement with the upper segments, the cam ring being adapted to be displaced independently of approaching displacements of the sidewall mold members toward each other (see especially page 2, lines 50-53, 70-73 and 93-100; page 4, lines 29-32; and page 5, lines 44+) to thereby simultaneously displace the upper and lower segments radially inwards while the upper and lower segments are in abutment with each other and while the cam ring remains in direct engagement with the upper tread mold member and in indirect engagement (via cooperating projection 81 and recess 34) with the lower tread mold member. and abutments means 79, 80 on the upper base plate and the cam ring for defining the upper limit position of the cam ring relative to the upper base plate. British '891 also discloses a method of vulcanizing a tire substantially as claimed using such a mold, the method comprising displacing the upper and lower sidewall mold members toward each other so that the upper and lower segments are brought into abutment with each other, and operating the cam ring while the cam ring remains in direct engagement with the upper tread mold member and in indirect engagement (via cooperating projection 81 and recess 34) with the lower tread mold member to simultaneously displace all of the segments radially inwards relative to the upper and lower sidewall members, with the upper segments in abutment with the lower segments.

British '891 does not disclose that the cam ring simultaneously displaces all of the segments radially inwardly while the cam ring remains in direct engagement with both upper and lower tread mold members. Miyata et al. disclose a tire vulcanizing mold and method, wherein a single cam ring 4 (formed of two connected parts 4a and 4b) simultaneously displaces all of the tread mold segments radially inwardly (independently of approaching displacements of the

Art Unit: 1722

sidewall mold members toward each other, see col. 8, lines 10-22) while the cam ring remains in direct engagement with both the upper tread mold members and the lower tread mold members. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify British '891 by providing the cam ring in direct engagement with both the upper and lower tread mold members to simultaneously displace the segments radially inwardly, as disclosed in Miyata et al., since such an arrangement would enable a more reliable radially inward movement of the lower tread mold member by applying a force from the outward side of the lower tread mold member rather than from the upper side thereof, and since such an arrangement is equivalent to the direct engagement of the cam ring with the upper tread mold member and the indirect engagement of the cam ring (via cooperating elements 81 and 34) with the lower tread mold member, as disclosed in British '891.

British '891 does not disclose a spring that urges the lower tread mold segments radially outwards. Each of Materick, Le Moullac '823, Le Moullac '463, Nara et al. and Allitt discloses a tire vulcanizing mold and method, including a cam ring which simultaneously displaces all of the tread mold segments radially inwardly, wherein a spring urges the tread mold segments radially outwards during opening of the mold. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify British '891 by providing a spring that urges the lower tread mold segments radially outwards, as suggested by any one of Materick, Le Moullac '823, Le Moullac '463, Nara et al. and Allitt, in order to assist in the opening movement of the tread mold segments and to assure that the tread mold segments move outwardly a distance sufficient to become free of the tread of the vulcanized tire upon mold opening.

Art Unit: 1722

3. Applicant's arguments filed 17 December 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Miyata et al. do not disclose the use of a "single cam ring" as claimed; however, the examiner contends that Miyata et al. do disclose a single cam ring ("actuator" 4). Miyata et al. further disclose that this single member is divided into upper and lower portions 4a, 4b which are provided with means for releasably connecting the portions together during mold closing when the connected cam ring portions simultaneously move the upper and lower tread mold segments radially inwardly (see, e.g., Miyata et al. at col. 3, lines 45-57); the connected cam ring portions are considered to be a "single" cam ring as claimed, giving the term "single" its broadest reasonable interpretation.

Furthermore, British '891 clearly teaches a single cam ring engaging with the upper and lower tread mold segments for simultaneously moving the tread mold segments radially inwardly, and it would have been obvious to a skilled artisan to modify the teachings of British '891 by providing the single cam ring in direct engagement with both the upper and lower tread mold segments to simultaneously move the upper and lower tread mold segments radially inwardly in view of the disclosure of Miyata et al. of a cam ring member (comprised of connected upper and lower portions) in direct engagement with both the upper and lower tread mold segments to simultaneously move the upper and lower tread mold segments radially inwardly.

It is noted that independent claim 6 does not require that the cam ring be a "single cam ring"; therefore, Applicants' arguments are not commensurate with the scope of claim 6.

Art Unit: 1722

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to James Mackey whose telephone number is 571-272-1135. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Wanda Walker can be reached on 571-272-1151. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-0987.

James Mackey

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1722

jpm

January 14, 2004

Page 6